

Optometry Office IT, EHR Management & Practice Data Security

A Strategic Guide to Workflow-Aligned System Selection, Downtime Exposure, and Patient Data Governance

Strategic Advisory & Custom Consultations

We understand that every independent practice or optical retail location possesses its own specific characteristics and a complex array of local variables, including distinct community demographics, patient spending power, clientele expectations, management preferences, and unique staffing dynamics. Therefore, Dr. Hettler and Mani can be contacted directly to discuss your specific requirements further and support your optical retail business long-term. By partnering together, we can set tailored operational benchmarks and build a retail strategy worthy of your practice's future goals.

www.opticalretailconsultants.com/contact

By **Dr. David Hettler** and **Mani Vaghedi, President & CEO, CNS | ORM | ORC**

OPTICAL RETAIL CONSULTANTSSM

Published: October 2026

Executive Summary: The Operational Cost of an Inherited System

The majority of independent optometry practices did not select the practice management and electronic health record system they operate on. It arrived with an acquisition, was recommended by a colleague a decade ago, or was the only option presented at the time. The workflow then bent to accommodate it. Technicians developed compensating routines, paper spectacle orders persisted alongside the record, and the accumulated friction became invisible precisely because everyone adapted to it.

The standard error is to evaluate practice software on feature lists and license cost while ignoring the two figures that matter, which are the time the system adds to every eye examination and the revenue exposed when it stops. A system costing \$400 monthly that adds four minutes to each of forty daily encounters consumes considerably more in clinical capacity than its license fee, and a practice with no tested recovery procedure is one hardware failure from a week of reconstructed patient records. Resolving this requires quantifying downtime exposure, mapping the optometric workflow against system behavior, and governing patient data as an operational asset rather than a compliance obligation.

"Optometric software is not an IT expense. It is a chair-time instrument that happens to be billed monthly, and it should be evaluated on the lane capacity it returns rather than on the features it lists."

Step 1: Quantify Downtime Exposure & Establish a Continuity Baseline

Before any system decision can be evaluated, the practice must price an outage. Calculate your Downtime Exposure (D_e) per hour of unplanned system unavailability using the following relationship:

$$D_e = R_{ch} \times L \times H$$

Where:

- D_e : Downtime Exposure, expressed in dollars of foregone revenue
- R_{ch} : Average net revenue per exam lane hour across a trailing twelve-month period, inclusive of attributable optical dispensing
- L : Number of exam lanes rendered inoperable by the outage, including pretesting if instrument data cannot be recorded
- H : Duration of unplanned unavailability, measured in hours

A typical independent optometry practice generates \$280 to \$420 in net revenue per lane hour once attributable optical dispensing is included. On a three-lane floor a single four-hour outage therefore carries an exposure between \$3,400 and \$5,000 before any rescheduling cost or patient attrition. Establish a recovery time objective of four hours and a recovery point objective of one hour, and test restoration quarterly rather than assuming the backup works.

Step 2: Map Workflow Against System Behavior Before Evaluating Vendors

Software evaluation conducted against a feature checklist produces a system that demonstrates well and operates badly. Document the practice's actual sequence first, then test candidate systems against that sequence:

- **Count clicks per encounter type.** Record the discrete actions required to complete a comprehensive eye exam, a contact lens fitting and a medical follow-up. Twenty extra clicks across forty daily encounters is roughly forty minutes of lane time every day.
- **Identify every parallel paper process.** Any spectacle order, contact lens trial log or referral letter still handwritten indicates a workflow the system does not accommodate. These gaps persist through a migration unless specified in advance.
- **Test the handoffs, not the screens.** Most friction occurs where the record passes from pretesting to the optometrist, then to the optical and to billing. Evaluate those transitions rather than individual modules, since vendors demonstrate modules and practices operate transitions.

Step 3: Govern Access by Role Rather Than by Convenience

In optometry practices under twenty staff, system credentials are routinely shared across the front desk and dispensary, retained after departure and granted at full privilege because restricting them creates friction. This is simultaneously the most common data governance failure and the easiest to correct. Assign every user an individual credential, scope privilege to the minimum each role requires to complete its work, and revoke on the day of departure rather than at the next audit. Review the full access list quarterly against the current staff roster. A practice that cannot produce a current list of who holds access to patient records cannot meaningfully claim to govern them.

Step 4: Address the Four Continuity Domains Independently

Practice data security is commonly treated as a single undifferentiated concern, which results in heavy investment in one domain and none in the others. The four domains below fail independently and require separate provision.

1. Access Control Continuity Anchor: Individual credentials, role-scoped privilege, multi-factor authentication on any externally reachable system, and same-day revocation on staff departure.	2. Backup and Restore Continuity Anchor: Automated daily backup with at least one copy held off-site, retained thirty days, and a restoration test executed quarterly against a live timer.	3. Vendor Continuity Continuity Anchor: Contractual data export rights in a documented open format, so the practice retains its record independent of its relationship with the vendor.	4. Staff Practice Continuity Anchor: Documented procedure for device loss, phishing attempts and suspected compromise, rehearsed annually rather than distributed once and filed.
--	--	--	--

Step 5: Evaluate Candidate Systems Against Operational Criteria

Vendor selection conducted on price and demonstration quality reliably produces a five-year commitment the practice regrets within eighteen months. Evaluate against the criteria that determine daily operation and long-term optionality:

Evaluation Criterion	Standard Selection Trap	Strategic Corrective Action & Verification Formula
Data Portability	Export is assumed to be available and is discovered at migration to be a chargeable professional services engagement.	Require a contractual export right in a documented open format at no incremental cost, and verify by requesting a sample export during evaluation.
Integration Surface	OCT, fundus camera, visual field, autorefractor, the optical point of sale and the recall platform are each quoted as integrated without any stated mechanism.	Require named device integrations with current version numbers, and contact two optometry practices running the identical instrument combination before committing.
Total Cost of Ownership	License cost is compared across vendors while implementation, training, data migration and per-user escalation are excluded.	Model five-year total cost inclusive of migration, training days, support tiers and annual escalation. License commonly represents under half the true figure.
Support Responsiveness	Support is offered as unlimited with no committed response time, so a lane-blocking outage queues behind routine requests.	Secure a contractual response time for clinical-blocking incidents, with a defined escalation path and a named account contact.

Step 6: Train the Team on the System They Actually Operate

Practices invest in implementation training and nothing thereafter, so technicians hired in year three learn the system from colleagues who learned it imperfectly in year one. Compensating habits propagate and become institutional. Document the practice's own standard sequence for each encounter type, train new staff against that documentation rather than against the vendor's general material, and review it annually. When introducing a change, frame it in terms of the work rather than the software: *"This removes three screens from every contact lens fitting. It will feel unfamiliar for a week and then it will be faster than what we do now."*

Step 7: Establish a Quarterly Technology Review With Named Accountability

Technology decisions made reactively, at the point of failure, are made under time pressure and without comparison. Install a quarterly review covering backup restoration results, the access list against the current staff roster, open support incidents with resolution times, and any workflow friction raised by technicians or opticians. Assign a named owner internally, since a review owned by everyone is conducted by no one.

To secure advanced long-term traction, ensure your systems metrics are aligned across your entire team via comprehensive **AI Visibility Planning Strategy**. Coordinating directly with Dr. Hettler and Mani Vaghedi to audit your optometric workflow against system behavior, price your downtime exposure, and structure a vendor evaluation against operational rather than promotional criteria converts practice technology from an inherited constraint into measurable lane capacity.